Submitted: 15/10/2025 - Accepted: 17/11/2025 - Published: 28/12/2025

Affiliate Marketing Strategy: A Literature Review on Value Creation, Governance, and Ethical Challenges

Bruno Alexandre Patrício Carvalho[1], Maria Inês Dinis Raimundo Carvalho[1], Margarida Sofia Braz Barros[1]

[1]Coimbra Business School, ISCAC, Portugal
*a2025108739@alumni.iscac.pt

DOI: 10.26417/m8fzks74

Abstract

Affiliate marketing makes a world of differences in digital marketing, especially where performance is paramount. The literature out there feels scattered even though this strategy has a huge economic punch and grows quickly. That seems to be the indication that we need to take a deeper look into exactly how it creates value. That's why this research digs into aspects like technological change, how it's governed, and the ethical side. It adopts a qualitative approach, drawing from reviews of existing studies and investigating the case of PayPal and Honey. From what crops up, such as the trust to be developed, sources to appear credible, and management of the parasocial connections with individuals online, they are important for the success of affiliate programs. It's not just setting up incentives or tracking data in an analytical way; those intangibles are right up there with them. However, these models also pose a big threat, which includes cookie stuffing and fraudulent attribution, which affects the metrics and the overall integrity of the affiliate program. Affiliate marketing is shifting from a focus on short-term customer relationships to longer-lasting partnerships. The short term and long term need to be balanced together in branding. The theory and real world are intertwined in the following explanation to describe the current relationships that exist between brands, affiliates, and consumers. The intricacy of these processes may be overwhelming; however, the process that is seen in the current marketing environment can be clearly defined.

Keywords: Affiliate marketing, digital marketing, performance marketing, value creation, consumer behavior, relationship marketing, marketing strategy

Introduction

E-commerce has grown so fast lately, and with all the different ways people shop online now, it's really changed how digital ads work around the world. Brands used to rely on old school ads, but things like ad blockers and people just ignoring banners makes that tough. So, they're turning to ways that feel more natural to reach folks. This push has made performance marketing a big deal, where you spend money only on results you can prove, not just hoping someone sees it. Data helps guide all this, and with privacy rules getting stricter, building direct ties with customers matters even more.

Affiliate marketing fits right into this performance side of things. It's basically brands that team up with partners outside their company to drive traffic, get leads, or make sales, and they only pay when something happens. Research shows it’s a huge industry worth billions, but it has not gotten as much study as other digital tools. That leaves space to dig deeper, especially on how it strengthens links between advertisers, affiliates, and shoppers.

Affiliate marketing is a setup where affiliates earn a cut for every visit, sign up, or purchase they bring in for the merchant. Unlike regular ads, the risk shifts to the affiliates, since they get paid only if it works. Tanwar and Sahu (2024) called it the quickest way to grab new customers right now.

The relational part stands out. It involves affiliates working with advertisers, and often these middle networks handling payments, tracking, all that. Jurisova (2013) talked about it as part of bigger online marketing, where businesses can expand their reach without fixed costs, and everything is measurable. But as affiliates mix more into social media and content sites, problems pop up around trust, being open, and who controls what. Some people see it as great for efficiency, others worry about transparency.

These shifts raise questions on how affiliate marketing affects what consumers do, how companies manage their partnerships, and even if it can push greener marketing ideas. This article tries to look at it strategically, breaking down the basics, what it means for people and businesses, and the ideas behind it. By pulling together recent studies and looking at cases, it aims to fill some gaps in understanding. Future research could go in a few directions, but that part gets a bit messy to pin down exactly.

Methodology

This study uses a critical incident case study along with a systematic literature review as the main parts of its qualitative approach. Affiliate marketing is complicated, more like a web of relationships and ethics than just simple tech deals, so going with qualitative methods makes sense. The whole setup involved stages like searching, picking sources carefully, pulling out themes, and focusing on how governance works in this area, all to hit the research aims and get a solid feeling for things.

The study started by looking through databases from academics and pros, like IEEE Xplore, Google Scholar, and some marketing ones. Kept it to mostly the last ten years to catch new researches, for example influencers on social media and those browser extensions that act as meta-affiliates. Keywords and Boolean Operators were used to guide it, with terms such as affiliate marketing, performance marketing, digital governance, cookie stuffing. It was about getting both wide coverage and being precise. Only took in peer-reviewed articles, conference papers, reliable reports from the industry. Made sure it was directly about affiliate marketing or added real insight into how it runs, its impacts, the problems. This way, the analysis would reflect current value creation and those ties between organizations accurately.

From there, a qualitative content analysis was made on what it was selected. Helped spot patterns that keep coming up, dimensions in the concepts, and gaps in what's out there. Recent studies say advanced models are needed to handle risks, like click fraud, cookie stuffing, and fights over attribution. These models mix watching behaviors with checking outcomes, adjusted for how uncertain and complex the digital setups get.

The PayPal-Honey case came in as a critical incident to show real examples. It highlights how tech innovations can sneakily grab commissions with hidden tabs, linking theory to actual market. Browser extensions and platforms as meta-affiliates are being watched by regulators and industry because they mess with attribution technically. That part stands out, sort of bridging the abstract ideas to practice.

Regulatory requirements add pressure too, with agencies like FTC, CFPB, and ones in Europe pushing hard on transparency, disclosing connections, banning deceptive ads in partnerships. Penalties are tough for not following. Overall, this method pulls together a view on how affiliate marketing creates value, its organizational sides, relationships, ethical bits in today's economy. Some aspects feel a bit messy still, like not everything ties up neatly.

Research Evolution and Main Contributions

Tanwar and Sahu looked at 63 key articles on affiliate marketing in the last twenty years. They found that a lot more research started popping up after 2010. That makes sense with how e-commerce took off everywhere and digital ads got more advanced. Most of the work focuses on management requirements, information systems, and e-commerce areas.

The authors grouped everything into four main themes. Like affiliate program operations, how consumers see it, risks and governance issues, and then performance of these programs. It feels like the field is still coming together, but it’s kind of scattered across different subjects. There is not really one big strategy to handle all the fast tech changes happening.

Atik did another review recently, showing that affiliate marketing is showing up in all sorts of places now, such as influencer channels, data analytics, and managing risks. With platforms like TikTok coming in, things are shifting a lot. It intersects with livestream commerce and the parasocial relationships people have online. The lines between regular content and ads are getting blurry there. Not everything ties together neatly yet.

Operational Model and Value Creation Mechanisms

Operationally, affiliate marketing programs have a clear lifecycle: the advertiser creates the program architecture and sets the terms of commission; affiliates apply and are approved; they are given unique, trackable links; and they start promoting through their own digital channels. The foundation of this model is tracking technology, which links each conversion, whether it be a click, a lead, or a sale, to a particular affiliate. This makes it possible to use a variety of payment models, including Cost per Action (CPA), Cost per Lead (CPL), or Cost per Click (CPC), which give performance marketing tactics a high degree of measurability.

Dwivedi et al. (2017) point out that from the perspective of value creation, these programs enable brands to take advantage of niche markets through specialized publishers, thereby bolstering metrics like ROI and CAC. Additionally, research by Atik (2024) shows that the key pillars for program effectiveness are customer segmentation, content quality, and a company's analytical capabilities. In order to prevent siloed performance, successful implementation necessitates a strong integration between the affiliate program and the company's overall marketing and data strategy.

Consumer Perspective and the Role of Parasocial Relationships

From the perspective of the customer, psychosocial elements, more especially, trust, the reliability of the source, and the strength of parasocial ties, play a major role in determining how well affiliate recommendations work. Because the affiliate frequently functions as a trusted peer rather than a conventional corporate advertiser, social media studies show that the perception of authenticity and transparency has a direct impact on purchase intent. On social media sites like Instagram and TikTok, where fans form emotional connections with creators, this dynamic is especially noticeable, giving the "recommendation" the feel of a personal recommendation rather than an advertisement.

While the technical aspects are well-documented, Tanwar and Sahu (2024) point out that the behavioral dimension is still poorly studied, especially in relation to the combination of perceived risk and ease of use during the decision-making process. Personalized and educational content is still a key factor in impact. Therefore, strategies that effectively blend a compelling value proposition with a smooth user experience have a higher chance of boosting Customer Lifetime Value (CLV) and long-term customer loyalty.

Risk, Governance, and Ethical Challenges

Affiliate programs' quick growth has created serious problems for governance and risk management. Tanwar and Sahu (2024) list several fraud types that lead to information imbalances and attribution disputes between affiliates and advertisers, such as cookie stuffing and invalid clicks. According to governance literature, the brand's risk tolerance and the level of environmental uncertainty should be taken into consideration when choosing between behavior-based and outcome-based control mechanisms.

Transparency in identifying "paid partnerships" is now a global requirement from an ethical and regulatory perspective. In order to stop dishonest practices, Atik (2024) highlights the necessity of using data responsibly and adhering to consumer protection regulations. This puts affiliate marketing at the center of larger conversations about digital ethics, specifically how to shield weaker customers from incentives that could undermine a recommendation's apparent independence.

Implications for Marketing Practice and Future Agenda

According to the convergent literature, marketing managers working in increasingly crowded digital markets must adopt a strategic partner-centric approach instead of just transactional management for affiliate programs to be successful. This entails choosing partners carefully whose values and content strictly match the positioning of the brand. To prevent the commission structure from unintentionally encouraging aggressive or dishonest tactics, managers must also create compensation models that strike a balance between short-term incentives and long-term financial sustainability. The development of sophisticated analytical tools to track affiliate performance throughout the whole omnichannel journey, going beyond straightforward "last-click" attribution, is a crucial prerequisite for contemporary practice.

According to case studies and recent reviews, there are significant synergistic effects when affiliate marketing is combined with other digital marketing strategies like social media campaigns, email marketing, and content marketing. By offering consistent brand experience across various touchpoints, this integration improves both customer acquisition and retention.

The literature also highlights the necessity of longitudinal research to evaluate affiliate marketing's long-term effects on brand equity. Consolidating affiliate marketing as a fundamental tool of modern marketing strategy requires an understanding of how these programs impact long-term relationships between brands, affiliates, and consumers.

Ethical Risks and Governance in Affiliate Marketing: The PayPal–Honey Case

The PayPal–Honey case is a prime example of the impact that opportunistic behaviors have on the establishment of trust and sustainability in the environment of Affiliate Marketing. Recent reports and legal actions in the United States have exposed a series of critical technological tools—related to the technological tool named Honey, which is a browser plugin developed by the company PayPal—that have the capability to overwrite the legitimate Affiliate Cookies with the Cookies of the tool named Honey—in scenarios where the tool is not the initial source of the conversion. Among the aforementioned behaviors is the "Secret Tab Mechanism," whereby a hidden tab opens, accessing the page of the merchant in the background, when interaction is established with the tool during the process of checkout.

From a conceptual standpoint, cookie stuffing is an action widely recognized within the affiliate marketing sphere as an act of affiliate fraud because it involves giving commissions to individuals who did not originally bring traffic or purchasing intent into the sale data. Moreover, aside from the fact that this type of affiliate fraud can result in money loss for publishers and influencers, it can also affect the overall integrity of data analytics and healthy investment decisions within channels. From the perspective of the Honey case itself, it appears that the influencers and content creators involved claim that their overall commission was misappropriated, even with reference to the campaign promoting their own extension. This provides a high level of perceived breach of trust and exploitation of partnership dynamics. From a governance perspective with reference to affiliate programs, the Honey case can be said to illustrate the significance of control and monitoring programs.

Recent literature on affiliate marketing underlined that the possibility of risks such as click fraud, cookie stuffing, and attribution conflicts necessitates control models that combine behavior-based monitoring and outcome-based evaluation, adjusted to the degree of uncertainty and complexity of the network. The regulatory pressure has also heightened: agencies such as the FTC, CFPB, and European regulators have strengthened guidelines around transparency, disclosure of material relationships, and prohibition of deceptive practices in advertising and paid partnerships, with considerable fines for noncompliance. Considering these, there is increased scrutiny on browser extensions and technology platforms serving “meta-affiliates” insofar as the ability to technically intervene in the attribution process increases the potential for abuse.

From a perspective of marketing strategy, the Honey case illustrates an important trade-off between innovation in terms of the user experience (e.g., automating application of coupons) and the importance of fairness and transparency regarding affiliate relationships. Indeed, while several studies have discussed the potential of affiliate marketing to create value within a performance marketing model when effectively managed, the issue of commission hijacking suggests that value capture can be transferred from well-intentioned affiliates to less well-intentioned intermediaries without any additional value being created for the marketer and consumer.

For an advertiser and for an affiliate program manager, the implication of the above is rather obvious, namely that the selection of the advertising partner should be informed not only by conversion-related metrics but also by tracking styles and by legal and ethical aspects.

Finally, this case would hint at a relevant research agenda on affiliate marketing, concerned with deeply investigating fraud and detection mechanisms in affiliate networks, the influence of hijacking practices on the trust of influencers and content creators, and the impact of regulatory standards on preserving the integrity of the system, coupled with industry self-regulation. Including real cases, such as PayPal-Honey, within the academic debate enables a better understanding of affiliate marketing both as an acquisition tool and as a field of conflict between technological innovation, economic incentives, and ethics in digital marketing.

In this context, affiliate marketing reveals itself less as an isolated technique and more as a point of convergence between data, content, and digital relationships. Its analysis therefore requires a perspective that keeps pace with this practical complexity, bringing academic research closer to how the model is actually implemented in the most advanced markets.

Discussion

Similarly, the discussion contained in the current paper corroborates the suggestion that, indeed, affiliate marketing is no longer merely considered an “acquisition tool” but is increasingly perceived as a shaping concept of the overall strategy of digital marketing campaigns with simultaneous economic, relational, and ethical connotations. The review of the literature has demonstrated that, indeed, though the industry has expanded rapidly in economic and technological terms, the related academic output has not kept pace. Scholarly work has generally remained disarticulated, dealing with individual "silos" such as e-commerce, information systems, or consumer behavior without adequately addressing their mutual relationship within an overarching strategic framework.

At a conceptual level, a permanent tension can be seen between the logic of performance marketing and the relational nature of the model. First, currently, the logic of Affiliate marketing is configured to maximize quantitative indicators like “Return on Investment” (ROI), “Customer Acquisition Cost” (CAC), and “Customer Lifetime Value” (CLV). At the same time, the logic of Affiliate marketing relies on the remuneration of the performance, usually through a system of pay-for-results. Secondly, the activity of Affiliate marketing relies on non-material structures of trust, the credibility of the information sources, and the perception of authenticity. These relations are not easy to measure through quantitative analysis. In the literature, the two levels tend to be kept separate, one referring to the commission models/optimization of the channel, and the other to the figure of the influencer and parasocial relationships. If one seeks to unify the two levels, the analysis of the literature suggests that the effectiveness of Affiliate marketing programming lies in the non-artificial interaction between the two levels.

The case of PayPal–Honey, considered in this paper, deepens this tension by highlighting ways that technological innovation can be marshaled both to increase perceived value-through coupon automation and checkout simplification-and to unduly capture commissions through deceptive practices like cookie stuffing and secret tab mechanisms.

This example, from the perspective of governance, allows a demonstration of how the fragility of the system does not reside solely in "opportunistic affiliates" but also in technological intermediaries with the ability to act as meta-affiliates, able to manipulate these attributions in a non-transparent way for the consumer. This shifts the focus of the discussion from simple contractual compliance to the architecture of the tracking ecosystems themselves, requiring the design of robust mechanisms of control, audit, and algorithmic accountability.

Another important issue that appears to be underscored by this review is that long-term aspects have not received sufficient research attention. Most of these empirical works have only paid attention to immediate effects on sales or traffic, but a lack of research on long-term effects can be noted on aspects such as brand equity, channel trust, or even consumer saturation for affiliated practices that might be blurred with business endorsements. In many instances, particularly where business endorsements are confused with content, affiliate marketing might end up becoming a means to appropriate value at the expense of continuously undermining the trustworthiness of creators or even the platform itself, as is apparently underscored by literature that indicates a need to incorporate branding and trust elements while assessing affiliate marketing practices.

Finally, this analysis argues that future research on this particular issue must proceed along three primary axes: first, that better models of attribution and fraud detection are created that take into consideration explicitly the potential for hijacking and technical interference by a third party, as shown by the Honey case; second, that a more detailed exploration of the role of regulatory standards and self-regulation frameworks (for example, a code of conduct, technical tracking standards) is conducted with regard to the ecosystem “stabilizers,” especially at cross-jurisdiction levels; third, that quite explicitly, performance research and sociocultural research are brought together to consider how, precisely, as a function of these economic incentives, these algorithmic structures, and these daily sociocultural practices around content consumption, “independent” recommendation is redefined as a function of digital environments.

Conclusion

In summary, far from being a transactional-type marketing channel, in this review, the complexity of affiliate marketing, as a mediating marketing tool, is unveiled. The evidence, in this case, also suggests that based on this particular research, the technicality in devising the incentive scheme and analysis have a bearing on the capacity for creating value, just as much as the strategy, with regard to managing intangible resources, such as perceptions related to authenticity, trust, and source, related to followers, which, with the move towards a "privacy-first" form of digital market, further bolsters the position of the affiliate as a reputational guardian, besides being a sales agent.

The PayPal-Honey case analyzed here is a typical example of the fact that the same conditions of the technical infrastructure that makes the improvement of efficiency and the increase of user conveniences possible can be suitably exploited for the purposes of opportunistic behavior. We are presented with a set of conditions that highlight the significance of the governance issue, the need for transparency, and the need for a better technical and regulatory system of control. No longer is the choice a matter of a simple outcome-based model, as opposed to a hybrid model of monitoring the integrity of the click-through journey, a mere option, but a necessity to advertisers concerned with the proper safeguarding of marketing investments against commission hijacking and cookie stuffing.

This study also underlines how affiliate programs will only start to be integrated within mature digital strategies through a paradigm change. Marketing managers must consider affiliate programs as part of a general relational and ethical structure. Such a structure should balance the short-term pressure to immediately oriented performance metrics, such as ROI and CAC, with the long-term need to create sustainable, transparent relations between brands, affiliates, and consumers. Neglecting these ethical dimensions in tracking and attribution threatens to destroy the very trust that has made affiliate marketing a powerful customer acquisition channel.

Therefore, the key to the future of affiliate marketing is in its capacity to evolve with the changing environment that is increasingly shaped by algorithmic accountability and increasing regulatory scrutiny. Indeed, as new technologies such as machine learning and decentralized tracking technologies take center stage, it is paramount that the field of affiliate marketing satisfies the fundamental criterion of self-regulation if the tactics-based form of affiliate marketing is to achieve a position of strength as a pillar of strategy in the current global economy.

References

[1] Kyneshi, Ma., Ortiz, C. G., Gutierrez, F. P., Jarha Keziah Casibu, Villoria, J. V., & Sy, R. A. (2025). Parasocial Relationships and Their Influence on Consumer Purchase Intention Among Gen Z. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Perspectives*, *4*(1), 203–215. https://doi.org/10.69569/jip.2025.554

[2] Parth Mavani. (2024, September 28). *Affiliate Marketing Legal Issues: Understanding Compliance and Best Practices*. Alliance Virtual Offices | Alliance Virtual Offices Blog. https://www.alliancevirtualoffices.com/virtual-office-blog/affiliate-marketing-legal-issues/

[3] *Biggest Challenges in Affiliate Marketing*. (2025, February 24). PartnerCentric. https://partnercentric.com/blog/partnercentric-com-blog-biggest-challenges-in-affiliate-marketing/

[4] Cyberclick, & Cyberclick. (n.d.). Cyberclick · Digital Marketing Agency. Www.cyberclick.net. https://www.cyberclick.net

[5] Investopedia. (2019). INVESTOPEDIA. Investopedia. https://www.investopedia.com

[6] Guia para iniciados: Marketing de afiliados. (2024). Santander. https://www.santander.pt/salto/marketing-de-afiliados

[7] Shopify. (2024, October 23). *O que é o marketing de afiliados? Tudo o que precisa de saber em 2024 - Shopify Portugal*. Shopify. https://www.shopify.com/pt/blog/marketing-de-afiliados

[8] Atik, F. (2024). The Evolving Dynamics of Affiliate Marketing: A Literature Review. *Himalayan Journal of Economics and Business Management*, *5*(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/%C2%A010.47310/hjebm.2024.v05i01.028

[9] Kimelblat, H. (2025, March 20). *Marketing de afiliados: o que é e como funciona*. Blog Do Wix. https://pt.wix.com/blog/o-que-e-marketing-de-afiliados

[10] Dwivedi, YogeshK., Rana, NripendraP., & Alryalat, M. A. A. (2017). Affiliate marketing: An overview and analysis of emerging literature. *The Marketing Review*, *17*(1), 33–50. https://doi.org/10.1362/146934717x14909733966092

[11] Tanwar, S., & SAHU, P. (2024). Two decades of research on affiliate marketing: a systematic literature review. *Theoretical & Applied Economics*, *31*(1).

[12] Kumar, R., Kumar, K., Tomar, A., Jain, P., Goel, L., & Gupta, V. K. (2024). Comparison of Affiliate Programs Strategies Using Different Platforms. *2024 Second International Conference on Advanced Computing & Communication*

Technologies (ICACCTech), 430–435. https://doi.org/10.1109/icacctech65084.2024.00077

[13] Ivkovic, M., & Milanov, D. (2010, November 1). *Affiliate internet marketing: Concept and application analysis*. IEEE Xplore. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICEMT.2010.5657647

[14] Esperanza, C., Amatuloh, D. N., Utama, I. D., Nathaniel, K., & Sudirman, I. D. (2024). How Affiliate Marketing Impacts Buying Behavior in E-Commerce. *2024 International Conference on Information Technology Systems and Innovation (ICITSI)*, 138–144. https://doi.org/10.1109/icitsi65188.2024.10929399

[15] Xu, H., Sun, Y., Qasim, K. U., Hao, S., Ma, W., Li, Z., Zhang, F., Han, M., & Li, Z. (2025). Understanding the Business of Online Affiliate Marketing: An Empirical Study. *IEEE INFOCOM 2025 - IEEE Conference on Computer Communications*, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1109/infocom55648.2025.11044696