Using List of Values as Base of Beer Consumer Segmentation Based on Their Lifestyle-Case of Study City of Pristina

Arsim Begunca

Phd candidate, European University of Tirana (UET), Albanian-Kosovo,

arsimi-75@hotmail. com

Abstract

When studying lifestyles, value is an essential element in defining of internal status for individual. For almost as long as values were studied in consumer's behavior, methodology was in favor of researchers. Human values have found increasing use as the basis of market segmentation. In our study using the LOV scale as a base for beer consumer segmentation based on lifestyle, we have managed to divide these customers/consumers in three segments. These segments are: sports - entertainment segment; traditional-family segment and health-safety segment. Thus several hypotheses generated that have been confirmed. For Data Analysis program named Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS version 20) has been used. This study used descriptive analysis, factorial analysis, independent samples, T-test, one way between groups ANOVA and cluster analysis. Keywords. Lifestyle, respondent, beer consumer, List of values.

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Knowledge regarding lifestyle

Expression LIFESTYLE is commonly used to categorize people who share same characteristics and beliefs. Lifestyle is a concept widely used and accepted in the social sciences and this expression appeared in psychology and sociology literature in the last three decades. "(Horley, Caroll & Little, 1998). The lifestyle concept is useful not only for the traders to mark different segments, but also for people to understand themselves in terms of how they behave and what they value. Researches suggests that knowing of people's lifestyle can allow marketers and researchers predict their behavior, in particular buying/purchasing behavior it is one of the most important tasks for scientists of these fields. Purchasing behavior reflect customer values that best show their lifestyle. Therefore researchers and traders are interested in tracking lifestyle and values segmentation studies. (Kahle & Kennedy, 1989).

Since the early use from Lazer in 1963 information on lifestyle analyzes became very popular in Marketing Management during Decision Making. Use of values and lifestyle as segmentation criteria consistently raised hopes that they explain more different observed behavior (actions) than demographic and social-economics variables. Because values of the consumer lifestyle provide a richer view of the market and a bigger portrait of life and customer desires, they reach the requirements of the management practices for increasing sophisticated and active marketing information. (Wasner & Wedlle : cit. Talha Harcar and Erdenar Kaynak, 2004).

Rona Ostrow and Sweetman R. Smith 'Marketing Dictionary' describes lifestyle as characteristic behavior centered around activities, interests, opinions, positions and demographic characteristics that distinguish a segment of the population from another. Consumer lifestyle is seen as the amount of his interactions with his environment. Lifestyle studies are components of broad concepts of behavior called psychographics. The Oxford English Dictionary states that the early use of the term "lifestyle " is made by Alfred Adler, psychologist who used it in 1929 to present the basic feature that was established in early childhood which governs his reactions and behavior.

January-April 2016 Volume 2, Issue 1

1. 2 Lifestyle Concepts and Theories

In studies of lifestyle, value is an essential element for determining the domestic/internal status of the individual. Also, Kahle and Kennedy (1989) pointed out that people usually buy products for the benefit of the values fulfillment. Carman (1978) suggests that values are directly associated to an individual's lifestyle. Value is an

Abstract concept. It serves as a quide for the classification of things and easier processing of information. It also helps people in making decisions to test products, services or special ideas (Kahle and Kennedy, 1989). Waldrop (1994) noted that when products are sold by their image, consumer values are more important than demographics. Values serve as guides that verify individual actions and positions to objects and situations. Values influence on making judgments, justifications and comparisons of one person with others (Rokeach, 1973). It is also responsible for various behaviors associated with the market, such as positions, beliefs, choice of brand and product use (Pitts and Woodside, 1984).

Many lifestyle researches have adopted Maslow hierarchy of needs/requirements as theoretical basis. Maslow Theory suggests that people consistently fulfill their needs ranging from physiological needs (water, food) and ranking through security needs (protection from injury), needs for love and affiliation (in society), need for respect (prestige, respect from others) and finally the need for self- actualization or self-fulfillment (Maslow, 1954). Based on different status in meeting/fulfilling the needs researchers managed to identify people in groups and furthermore explain ways that people communicate/interact with the environment.

Expected value theory is another theoretical framework for the study of lifestyle. Feather (1963) proposed this theory to explain how individual attitude/behavior is determined by situations in which a person is exposed and threats that this person has in a particular situation.

In other words, individual expectations and values are those which direct current behavior. The expected value theory allows research of values and lifestyle tie values in actions and takes in to consideration situation and the person as well.

1. 2. 1. Measurement systems in lifestyle studies

Four classic measurement systems are known that are related to lifestyle studies, which are: Rokeach Value Survey(RVS); List of Values(LOV); Attitudes Interests and Opinions(AIO) and Values, Attitudes and Lifestyle System(VALS). Since we have taken List of Values (LOV) as segmentation basis according to Lifestyle, further down we will describe only this instrument in measuring lifestyles.

1. 2. 2 List of Values -(LOV)

List of Values (LOV) has been developed by researchers in the Analytical Research Center, University of Michigan (Kahle, 1983: Veroff, Douvan & Kulka, 1981). Construction of LOV is based on the theoretical foundations on values from works of Feather (1974), Maslow (1954) and Rokeach (1973). List of values includes a list of nine values. Responders to List of Values were requested to evaluate each of the nine values and show below the most important one. Review by Kahle, Homer and Beatty found that the LOV instruments have been adopted and replicated in different areas, and that these research findings provide validation for LOV. Method of testing and retesting reliability is used to ensure the reliability of the issue of LOV (Beatty, Kahle, Homer & Misra, 1985).

Table 1. 1. The questionnaire form for the LOV.

Below is a list of things that some people seek or want from life. Please study the list carefully and then rank each how much it is important in your life, where 1 = not at all important and 9 = very important		
-A sense of belonging (to be accepted and needed by your family,	1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9	
friends and community)	1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9	

	1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9
-Excitement (in experiencing incentive and emotion)	1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9
- Warm relationship with others (to have a close relationship and	1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9
	1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9
- Self - fulfillment (find peace of mind and using your talents as best you could).	1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9
- To be respected (admired by others and accept assessments).	1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9
- Recreation (have fun) and experiencing life (make life happy and enjoyable)	1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9
-Safety (to be protected and guarded against attacks).	
-Self - respect (being proud of yourself and confident with who you are).	
- The sense of achievement (fulfillment) (to succeed in what you want to do).	

Source: Kahle & Kennedy (1989). pg. 8.

Kahle and Kennedy (1989) noted that at least four different methods of data analysis can be used to analyze the LOV data. These methods are nominal analysis, regression analysis, analysis of cause and segmentation analysis, which provide more information from the given data than traditional methods. One of the studies carried out by LOV as part of the study instruments, which it has been designed to forecast different styles of leissure travelling during free time (vacation) (Madrigal,1995). "Cross-National Consumer Psychographics"(Kahle,2000) is a summary of eight studies, which compares different aspects of psychographic consumer data at national and cross-cultural level. According to Kahle (2000) LOV is an instrument which can be used to understand the cultural aspects of consumers based on their social values .

1. 3. Definition and formulation of the problem

The Beer market presents a relatively large market and a trend of increasing its consumption from year to year. Therefore for companies that deal with production and those dealing only with the marketing of this product there is a need to identify consumer needs regarding this article. To achieve these objectives the company uses segmentation of the market as the most efficient approach for a better fulfillment of customer needs and requirements. Within the variables used for market segmentation there are also psychographic variables, in which consumer lifestyle is also included. With this paper we intend to segmentize beer consumers by the lifestyle as one of psychographic variables to be used for effective segmentation of the beer market in Pristina.

1. 3. Purpose of the study

The purpose of this study is segmentation based on lifestyle of beer consumers in Prishtina. In particular this study is designed to construct the lifestyle instruments and their use in detecting patterns of current beer consumer lifestyle. The study claims that the industry market can be segmentized by the lifestyle and if the industry can understand its consumer and his lifestyle it can make a higher degree of consumer satisfaction.

Therefore eventually by identifying beer consumers lifestyle they will offer a good analitic basis in segmentizing beer market, better product positioning in the market, promotion and more effective advertisement.

1. 4 Study hypothessis

After a comprehensive literature review of values and lifestyle, several hypotheses have been developed in order to test the differences between identified lifestyles.

H1: Most of the beer is consumed by the group of sport-recreational-entertainment orientated consumers.

H2: Women who consume beer mostly belong to sport-recreational-entertainment orientated consumers.

- H3: Consumers belonging to traditional-family orientated segment mostly consume beer in their home near their families.
- H4: There are lifestyle differences of beer consumers depending of gender.
- H5: There are lifestyle differences depending on age.

Research methodology

2. 1. Research method

This research intends to segmentize beer consumers by their lifestyle testing proposed assumptions/ hypothesiys based on the existing theory. Quantitative approach is used more in this study than the qualitative approach. Quantitative approach is more suitable to test and evaluate the assumptions and to follow standard procedures which are strict in the used instruments and allow the statistical data analysis to identify relevant information related to beer consumer lifestyles in Prishtina.

The face to face survey with self-administered questionnaire was adopted in this study as a data collecting method. Alreck and Settle (1995) pointed out that self-administered questionnaires are an excellent way to ask responders and provide information, provided by an expert and when the appropriate instrument has been used.

2. 2 Data collection

Primary data on this topic are provided through various survey respondents in city of Prishtina. Through a self administered questionnaire we have surveyed a total of 91 responders, where the information obtained from these surveys is used as primary data and presents the essence of research. The questionnaire consists of 34 questions; the series of questions are designed to have a range of responses focusing on the same general topic. In short questionnaire includes 21 questions for beer consumption section, two questions for the values section, a question for hobbies and 10 questions on demographic characteristics section.

Values component is adopted from studying LOV(Kahle,1983, Veroff, Douvan and Kulke,1981). LOV scale is used in several studies on lifestyle and these studies have shown that the LOV scale is good to forecast customer behavior and consumer segmentation based on different value status. There are two questions in questionnaire at the values section.

2. 3 Sample selection

A self-administered questionnaire is used in this study that is directly distributed by the researcher in randomly selected sample. The study uses one scale sampling method. Therefore, Prishtina residents of ages 18 and up have been randomly selected in conducting this study.

2. 4 Methods of analysis

Programm named Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS version 19) is used for data analysis. This study used factorial analysis, independent samples, T-test, one way between groups ANOVA and K-means cluster analysis

3.0 Data Analysis

3.1 Factor Analysis

Factor analysis is applied in this study to reduce the number of variables, in order to make the study interpreted easier. Twenty- three issues of " consumption of beer " section and eleven issue of " values and hobbies " have been subject to factor analysis in order to reduce the large number of variables in a smaller community of fundamental factors. Before applying the PCA - Principal Components Analysis data adequacy of factor analysis is assessed or reviewed. Any issues in correlation matrix having a coefficient of less than 0. 3 in any other matter were removed. Also the commonalities table is examined and any issue with less than 0. 50 was also removed as a low value of commonalities, since they show that these variables don't have any relation to other variables in the community. (Field, 2005; Tabachnick & Fidell, 2000).

Factor analysis was performed using the Principal Component Analysis-PCA with orthogonal rotation (varimax). Orthogonal rotation was chosen because the resulting factors will be used in subsequent statistical analysis and this approach minimizes collinearity (Hair,Anderson,Totham & Black,1998). Two sources of information were examined in order to determine the number of factors that will be elected, Eigenvalue size and Eigenvalue screeplot. Only factors with an Eigenvalue of 1 or more are taken into account and Screeplot diagram is checked for the information of the number of factors. Three factors have been derived based on these sources of information on the consumption of beer section, whereas for "Values and Hobbies" section one factor has been derived. Furthermore, individual issues and factor loadings are inspected. In the final selection 7 out of 23 cases of consumption of beer and 9 out of 11 cases of values and hobbies that have a factor loading 0. 4 and over in one factor have been held (Field, 2005) and these issues have been reduced to four principal factors.

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Sample Suitability meassurement unit which provides measurement unit in quantifying of the intercorrelation scale through variables and appropriateness of factor analysis, for 'beer consumption' section is 0. 602, and for the section of 'values and hobbies' is 0. 687, exceeding the recommended value of 0. 6 (Coakes & Steed, 1999; Kaiser. 1970,1974).

Bartlett's Test of Sphericity reached statistical significance (p = 0. 00), supporting the factorability of the correlation matrix for factor analysis.

At 'beer consumption' 3 selected factors with Eigenvalue factor exceeding 1, explain 70. 88% of the variance, whereas factor chosen at 'values and hobbies' explains 59. 80% of variance. Table 4. 10 presents the results of the factor analysis section of "beer consumption " and " values and hobbies " section.

The reliability analysis was conducted to test the internal consistency of each factor provided by factor analysis. Cronbach Alfa coefficient is one of the indicators that usually is used mostly in the internal sustainability (Coakes & Steed, 1999; Pallant, 2001) and normally is recommended to be above 0. 6 in research studies (Hair, Anderson, Tatham & Black, 1998). All factors obtained by factor analysis show Cronbach Alfa coefficient to be above 0. 6.

	Components			
Issues	1	2	3	
Eigenvalue	3.008	1. 240	1.060	5. 382
Cronbach Alfa coefficient	0. 809	0. 627	0.602	0. 724

Table 3. 1 Factors derived from factor analysis

Factor 1

IS	SN 2411-4073 (online)	and Business Studies			Volume 2, Issue 1
	How many bottles of beer you drank last week				
		0. 891			
	How many bottles of beer you drank last month	0.822			
	How strong you like your beer	0. 583			
	Factor 2				
	Do you drink beer at home		0. 878		
	What beer you use in your home		0. 837		
	Factor 3				
	Season when you drink mostly			0. 732	
	Which is your favorite wrapping/packaging			0. 705	
	Factor 4				
	What is your hobby				0. 781
	What things have greater importance				0. 734
	The sense of belonging				0. 496
	Warm relationship with others				0. 651
	Self-fulfillment				0. 749
	To be respected				0. 680
	Safety/Security				0. 877
	Self respect				0. 764
	Feeling/sense of achievement				0. 536

European Journal of Economics

January-April 2016

3. 3 Cluster Analysis

ISSN 2411-9571 (Print)

ISSN 2411-4073 (online)

Cluster analysis is one of statistical analysis that is used in many segmentation studies in defining groups. There are two main subdivisions of clustering procedures, when the number of clusters is default/determined then K-means Cluster method is used and hierarchy cluster analysis is used when the number of clusters is not predetermined. K - Means cluster analysis is used to identify relatively homogeneous groups of cases based on selected characteristics for this study. K -Means cluster analysis procedure requires that cluster number should be specified in order to continue with the analysis. K-Means attempts to to identify relatively homogeneous groups of cases based on selected characteristics using an algorithm that can manipulate large numbers of cases. The study initially speculated that there are three to five segments of consumer lifestyles that should be explored. Of the total number of 91 responders- consumers of beer, for selecting of

ISSN 2411-9571 (Print)	European Journal of Economics	January-April 2016
ISSN 2411-4073 (online)	and Business Studies	Volume 2, Issue 1

three clusters the number of cases (respondents) was 34, 17 and 40. For selection of four clusters number of cases was 34,28,7 and 24; whereas for selection of five clusters the number of cases was 27,22,4,18 and 20.

From case analysis of four and five clusters there are many matching features across groups, but at three clusters selection there are less matching features across groups. For this reason and for the purposes of interpretation this study has used the three cluster selection in order of grouping beer consumer repondents. In ANOVA table we presented all cases used in cluster analysis.

Table 3. Z ANOVA Table for three chosen clus	ters
--	------

Factors	DF	F	significant
Beer consumption			
Amount of consumption in last week	2	5. 814	0.004
Amount of consumption in last month	2	3. 363	0.390
Do you drink beer at home	2	0. 358	0. 700
Season when you drink beer the most	2	54.755	0.000
Which wrapping you prefer	2	1. 116	0. 332
What beer you use in your home	2	2. 525	0. 086
How strong you like your beer	2	0. 545	0. 582
Values and hobbies			
What is your hobby	2	6. 801	0.002
What things have greater importance			
In your daily life			
	2	157.687	0.000
Sense of belonging	2	30. 045	0.000
Warm relationship with others	2	9. 798	0.000
Self fulfillment	2	4. 674	0. 120
To be respected	2	2. 895	0.061
Safety/Security	2	31. 684	0.000
Selfrespect	2	6. 663	0.002
Sense/feeling Achievement	2	3. 627	0. 031

Using membership information by cluster analysis, frequency analysis was conducted to provide an overview for each cluster.

ISSN 2411-9571 (Print)	European Journal of Economics	January-April 2016
ISSN 2411-4073 (online)	and Business Studies	Volume 2, Issue 1

Cluster or segment 1. This cluster represents 37. 36% of interviewed responders, that is the second cluster from the number of responders throughout three chosen clusters. On the question regarding values where responders had to determine over one of values that are most important on everyday life, in this cluster we have a compact sequence where 100 % of respondents chose the value " recreation and enjoyment of life "; whereas at nine point scaling by Likert's scale this issue has the highest average ranked on 8. 76. Also responders in this cluster as their favorite hobby mention sports with 61. 8%. From this data we can see that this group is mostly interested in entertainment and sports so it is named " sports entertainment- oriented cluster. "

Cluster or segment 2. This cluster is formed from 17 responders and represents 18. 68% of interviewed responders, is also the smallest cluster from three clusters. On question about values in choosing one of values that is most important in everyday life, the security value with 64. 7% and sense of belonging with 17. 6% make the most importance for responders of this group. Whereas at nine point scaling by Likert's scale this security/safety issue again with average of 8. 41 and sense of belonging with 8. 00 make the highest ranking.

As far as hobbies we have a wide distribution of responses, which mostly is answered in the " Other " 35. 3 % where in this group are included hunting, fishing, etc. After that 'sports' are ranked with 29. 4% and 'meeting friends and comrades' with 23. 5%. In examining the responses regarding beer consumption it shows that this group consumes the most beer and most of the three clusters consume Beer of Peja (82. 4 %). From these data we can see that this group is more family oriented (sens of belonging) than other groups and more traditional in sense of consuming in percentage mostly local beer. Given everything mentioned above this group was named ' traditionally family oriented cluster' group or shortly ' family-traditionalist'.

Cluster or segment 3; this cluster consists of 40 responders and represents 43. 96% of interviewed responders. This cluster makes the biggest group out of three clusters. In the 'Values' question again most importance in everyday life is given to security/safety with 60 %. At nine point scaling value of the security reaches the highest ranking from all clusters with an average of 8. 53. Main hobby of responders belonging to this group is ' meeting friends and comrades' with 35% and ' Othe' (fishing, hunting, etc...) When checking answers regarding beer consumption we can see that responders from this group in highest percentage from all clusters, with 15%, express their concern that consuming beer affects human health. From these data this group is named ' safety and health oriented cluster'.

	Entertainment and sports	Traditional –family	Security-Health
Personal features	No. of responders 34	No. of responders 17	No of responders 40
Age	18-25, 28(82. 4%)	26-35, 5 (29. 4%)	18-25, 3(7. 5%)
	26-35, 5(14. 7%)	36-45, 7(41. 2%)	26-35, 9(22. 5%)
	46+ 1(2. 9%)	46+ 5(29. 4%)	36-45, 15(37. 5%
			46+, 13(32. 5%)
Gender	Males 23(67. 6%)	Males 15(88.2%)	Males 35(87. 5%)
	Females 11 (32. 4%	Females 2(11. 8%)	Females 5(12. 5%)
Education	High school 13 (38. 2%)	High school 10 (58. 8%)	High school 17 (42. 5%)
	University 21(61. 8%)	University 7(41. 2%)	University 23(57. 5%)

Table 3. 3 Cluster profiles according to demographic features

ISSN 2411-9571 (Print) ISSN 2411-4073 (online)	European and I	European Journal of Economics January-April and Business Studies Volume 2, Is	
Employment	Employed 15(44. 1%)	Employed 17(100%)	Employed 36(90%)
	Unemployed 19 (55. 9%)		Unemployed 4(10%)
Civil status	Single 31(91. 2%)	Single 2(11. 8%)	Single 6(15%)
	Married 3(8. 8%)	Married 15(88. 2%)	Married 34(85%)
No. of family members	1-4, 13(38. 2%)	1-4, 6(35. 3%)	1-4, 14(35%)
	5-8, 19(55. 9%)	5-8, 8(47. 1%)	5-8, 22(55%)
	9-12, 2(5. 9%)	9-12, 2(11. 8%)	9-12, 1(2. 5%)
		13+, 1(5. 9%)	13+, 3(7. 5%)
Family income	100-300, 8(23. 5%)	100-300, 6(35. 3%)	100-300, 14(35%)
	301-600, 17(50%)	301-600, 4(23. 5%)	301-600, 16(40%)
	601-1000, 7(20. 6%)	601-1000, 5(29. 4%)	601-1000, 7(17. 5%)
	1001+, 2(5. 9%)	1001+, 2(11. 8%)	1001+, 3(7. 5%)

Table. 3. 4 Cluster profiles based on hobbies and personal values

	Sport-entertainment	Traditional -family	Safety- Health
	No. of responders 34	No. of responders 17	No. of responders 40
Hobbies			
Sport	21 (61. 8%)	5 (29. 4%)	15 (37. 5%)
Music	9 (26. 5%)	2 (11. 8%)	3 (7. 5%)
Meeting friends	1 (2. 9%)	4 (23. 5%)	14 (35%)
Other (hunting etc)	3 (8. 8%)	6 (35. 3%)	8 (20%)
Personnal values			
Most important	Entertainment(100%)	Safety (64. 7%)	Safety (60%)
Values Ranking	Average	Average	Average
Sense of belonging	6.91	8.00	7.95
Excitement	4.88	3. 53	3. 57
Warm relationship	7. 21	7.82	7.65

ISSN 2411-9571 (Print) ISSN 2411-4073 (online)	European Journal of Economics Jand Business Studies		Jan V	uary-April 2016 olume 2, Issue 1
Self-fulfillment	6.85	7.24	7.35	
To be respected	7.68	8.00	7.98	
Entertainment and enjoying life	8.76	7.71	7.40	
Safety	7.59	8.41	8. 53	
Self respect	7. 18	7.71	7.45	
Sense of achievement	7. 12	7.53	7.45	

3. 4 Hypothesis testing

For H1,H2 and H3 hypothesis confirmation, datas obtained from cluster analysis will be used, that is the information regarding beer consumption in three clusters.

H1: Most of beer is consumed from sport-entertainment oriented consumers; was rejected since from the information obtained in cluster analysis, this group consumes less amount of beer comparing with two other clusters. Weekly average amount in this group is 2. 94 bottles of 0. 5litre that is 11. 15 bottles of 0. 5litre, an amount that is less than two other groups. From this given information the hypothesis is rejected and is not accepted as true.

H2: Women beer consumers mostly belong to the segment of entertainment- sports oriented consumers. Also for confirmation of this hypothesis we use the information obtained from cluster analysis that is cluster profile information by demographic features, where it can be seen that percentage of female gender at sport-entertainment cluster makes 32. 4%, whereas at traditional-family cluster makes 11. 8%, and percentage is 12. 5% at safety-health cluster. Therefore from this information veracity of this hypothesis can be completely accepted as true.

H3: Consumers belonging to traditional-family oriented segment mostly consume beer at home near their families. For confirmation of this hypothesis we use data given from cluster profiles based on beer consumption; where we can see that consumers of traditional-family segment mostly consume beer with friends making 82. 4%; also from this data we reject this hypothesis as untrue. However, when we talk about beer consumption with family the traditional-family cluster makes the highest percentage of 17. 6% versus entertainment-sport clusters with 5. 9% and safety-health with 7. 5 %. But despite this fact the veracity of the hypothesis is rejected.

Independent Sample T-test and One-way between Groups Anova were used for for H4 and H5 hypothesis confirmation; in order to test the impact of two demographic characteristics of respondent lifestyle. Independent Sample T-test and Oneway between Groups Anova were conducted with factors obtained from factor analysis as dependent variables and demographic characteristics as independent variables.

Indepedent Sample T-test is used to test the gender effects on beer consumer lifestyle factors. The proposed hypothesis is as follows:

H4: There are differences in the beer consumer lifestyles depending on gender.

Test results show that only 6 out of 16 factor issues used are statistically important (p<0. 05). The following table shows male and female preferences from submitted factors by comparing results of the average column (Mean). However, on the other 10 cases no significant differences were found (p<0. 05) which leads to the conclusion that H4 hypothesis is partially accepted as true. Below through the following table we present comparision of beer consumer lifestyle factors based on genders

Table 3. 5 Indepedent Sample T-test results

ISSN 2411-9571 (Print) ISSN 2411-4073 (online) European Journal of Economics and Business Studies

Factors	Male			Fem	ale			
	Ν	Average	DS	Ν	Average	DS	t (df)	significant
Consumptin last week	73	1. 11	0.843	18	0.67	0. 594	2. 101(89)	0. 329
Consumption,last month	73	1.81	0. 908	18	1. 22	0. 647	2. 577(89)	0. 001*
Do you drink beer At home	73	0.42	0. 498	18	0. 22	0. 428	1. 586(89)	0.000*
Season when you	73	2.26	1.509	18	2.44	1. 423	-0.469	0.339
drink most	73	0.08	0.277	18	0.5	0.514	-4.735	0.000*
Preferred wrapping								
What beer you use at home	73	0.84	0.834	18	0. 78	0. 732	. 270(89)	0. 214
How strong you like	73	1.89	0.718	18	1.72	0.461	0.945(89)	0. 566
your beer	73	2.08	1.233	18	2.33	0.97	-0. 804	0.005*
Your hobby								
Most important	73	2. 14	1.71	18	1. 17	1. 505	2. 204(89)	0. 939
things								
Sense of	73	7.63	0.858	18	7.33	0.485	1. 409(89)	0. 022*
belonging								
Warm	73	7.56	0.577	18	7.33	0.594	1. 495(89)	0.772
Relationship								
Self-	73	7.05	0.762	18	7.5	0.514	-2. 346	0.864
Fulfillment								
To be	73	7.86	0.652	18	7.89	0.323	-0. 163	0.005*
Respected	73	8. 16	0.646	18	8. 11	0.832	. 295(89)	0.083
Safety	73	7.38	0. 543	18	7.44	0. 511	-0. 43	0.999
Selfrespect								
Sense of	73	7.27	0.651	18	7.61	0.502	-2.049	0. 306
achievement								

*important at p<0.05

One-way between Groups Anova was conducted to see the impact of age on beer consumer lifestyle. The proposed hypothesis was:

H5: There are differences on beer consumer lifestyles depending on age.

One-way between Groups Anova has shown significant differences on eight factor issues across age groups (p < 0.05, which can be seen in the table).

However here at other selected factors issues, no significant differences were found; therefore this hypothesis is only partially accepted as true.

Table no. 3. 6 summarizes Anova results.

Table no. 3. 6 One way Between Groups Anova test results

Factors	Age 18-25 yrs		Age 26-35 yrs		Age 36-45 yrs		Age 45 +							
	Ν	Average	DS	Ν	Aver.	DS	Ν	Aver.	DS	Ν	Aver.	DS	F(DF)	Sig.
Amount last														
Week	31	0. 68	0. 653	19	1. 37	0. 597	22	1. 18	0. 907	19	1. 05	0. 97	3. 551(3)	0. 018*
Consumption,last														
Month	31	1. 35	0. 755	19	2	0. 882	22	1. 95	0. 95	19	1. 63	0. 9	3. 109(3)	0. 031*
Do you drink beer			0			0			0			0		0
at home	31	0. 39	0. 495	19	0. 42	0. 507	22	0. 45	0. 510	19	0. 26	0. 45	. 567(3)	0. 638
Season when you														0
drink most	31	2.68	1. 558	19	2. 47	1. 504	22	1. 95	1. 495	19	1. 89	1. 24	1. 654(3)	0. 183
Preferred wrapping	31	0. 26	0. 445	19	0.00	0. 000	22	0. 14	0. 351	19	0. 21	0. 42	2. 093(3)	0. 107
What beer you use			0			0			0			0		0
at home	31	1.06	0. 814	19	0. 63	0. 831	22	0. 68	0. 780	19	0. 79	0. 79	. 988(3)	0. 213
How strong you like														
your beer	31	1.77	0. 669	19	2.00	0. 745	22	1. 86	0. 468	19	1. 84	0. 83	. 434(3)	0. 729
Your hobby	31	1.61	0. 919	19	1. 79	1. 182	22	2. 77	1. 343	19	2. 58	0. 9	6. 591(3)	0. 000*
Most important			0						0				04	0
things	31	0. 29	0. 902	19	2. 32	1. 600	22	2. 91	0. 75	19	3. 16	1. 57	31. 070(3)	0. 000*
Sense of														
belonging	31	6. 87	0. 619	19	7.74	0. 653	22	7. 95	0. 575	19	8. 11	0. 66	20. 843(3)	0. 000*
Warm			0			0			•			0	•	0
Relationship	31	7.23	0. 56	19	7. 42	0. 507	22	7.77	0. 528	19	7. 79	0. 54	6. 538(3)	0. 000*
Self-			0			0			0			0		•
Fulfillment	31	6. 94	0. 727	19	7. 16	0. 765	22	7. 23	0. 612	19	7. 37	0. 83	1. 529(3)	0. 213
To be														
respected	31	7.65	0. 608	19	7.68	0. 582	22	7.95	0. 375	19	8. 32	0. 58	6. 798(3)	0. 000*
Safety/Security	31	7. 71	0. 588	19	2. 37	0. 831	22	8. 64	0. 492	19	8. 11	0. 32	11. 876(3)	0. 000*
Selfrespect	31	7. 19	0. 402	19	7. 42	0. 507	22	7. 50	0. 598	19	7. 58	0. 61	2. 650(3)	0. 054
Sense of														
achievement	31	7. 23	0. 56	19	7. 32	0. 671	22	7. 41	0. 666	19	7.47	0. 7	. 701(3)	0. 554

*Important at p<0.05

 ${\tt 4.\ 0}$ Conclusions, implications of the study, the limitations and suggestions for future studies

4.1 Conclusions

Through a series of analysis we have achieved through cluster analysis to group customers into three lifestyle segments, and they are entertainment-sport oriented segment, traditional-family oriented segment and health-safety oriented segment.

By grouping customers into three lifestyle segments we have provided answers to our main goal which has been segmenting beer customers according to lifestyles. With these practical results of the study we have concluded that beer consumers can be segmented according to existing lifestyles by supporting the conclusion of the existing theory that every industry needs its own instruments to conduct researches for lifestyle.

4. 2 Study implications

Results from this study can help the beer industry in establishing marketing strategies by providing a clear picture of segmented groups. Having submitted our main goal that was to identify the beer consumer lifestyles, we noted that identifying lifestyles provides a good analytical basis for beer market segmentation, better positioning of the product on the market and more effective advertising.

a) Study implications on beer market segmentation: from study conclusions we can see that beer consumers can be segmented in three lifestyle groups. This research and division of segments by the lifestyle can serve marketers working in beer industry, in order to ensure a high degree of customer satisfaction and thus maintaining their loyalty to a certain brand of product. By having knowledge about each segment separately we acquire abundant knowledge about demographic data, and all the issues regarding beer consumption, hobbies and values. From these obtained data about lifestyle segments the production or marketing of this product can be oriented.

b) Study Implications on the positioning of the product: the objective in this study was to provide a useful information for positioning of the product in the market while exploring lifestyles as one of variables for segmentation. If the company manages to segmentize the whole market in three suggested segments and adjust production based on consumer lifestyle requirements, then the company product will be more accepted from consumers and will be successfully positioned in the market. Good market positioning of the product is the most important stage for enterprises, therefore selected marketing strategies play an essential role and are also one of the lifestyle segmentation strategies that is offered through this paper.

c) Study implications in advertisement: one of the four main forms of promotion by which the company is served to provide compelling information to potential buyers and public is advertising. By having knowledge over entertainment-sports lifestyle segment, traditional-family segment, and safety-health segment it is possible to concieve the product advertisement by this principle enabling better communication with consumer. By making advertisement that in itself contain information about lifestyle, the company manages to coordinate its interest with consumer's interest and as a result we meet consumer needs and achieve company objectives...

4. 3 Study limitations/constraints

Main limitations can be summarized in two issues; responders number or sample's size and study instrument construction.

In order to accomplish the study we surveyed 91 responders beer consumers. Therefore small number of responders represents the main constraint of the study and its applicability since it can not represent the entire population of Pristina city broadly, despite efforts for a right inclusion.

Another constraint of the study relates to the construction of the survey instrument, where the scales used are not uniform. Some of the issues are on Likert's nine-point scale, most of questions are closed and one question is open. These varying scales/degrees are used because of the different references used for study question in questionnaire.

4. 4 Sugestions for future studies.

ISSN 2411-9571 (Print)	European Journal of Economics	January-April 2016
ISSN 2411-4073 (online)	and Business Studies	Volume 2, Issue 1

Future research in this area should include various issues that are not included in this study. It is suggested that study instrument should include more issues/cases, similar to VALS or AIO instrument and responders number should not be under 1000. This is because the more cases/issues are to be adressed and the more responders are to be interviewed the results are more accurate and their application in practice is easier and more effective.

Also it is suggested to carry out a general information of lifestyle in Kosova, from which later on data will derive easier for each industry separately.

LITERATURE

- [1] Encyclopaedia of Marketing Research, 1998, published by Ranjan Rajane
- [2] Kottler Philip, Armstrong Gary, 2001. Principles of Marketing
- [3] Kottler Philip, Keller Kevin Lane, 2006, Marketing Management 12thedition
- Hoek Janet, Kearns Zane and Clearwater David, Massey University Psychographics: Segment Descriptions vs Segment Behaviours
- [5] Wells D. William, Psychographics: A Critical Review, Journal of Marketing Research, Vol. 12, No. 2. (May, 1975), pp. 196-213.
- [6] Todd Sarah, Lawson Rob & Faris Fiona, A Lifestyle Analysis of New Zealand Consumers;, Department of Marketing, University of Otago, PO Box 56, Dune din
- [7] Joan L. Walker & Jieping Li, Latent lifestyle preferences and household location decisions;
- [8] Published online: 26 September 2006_ Springer-Verlag 2006
- [9] Rexha Nexhmi, Reshidi Nail, 1998, Marketing bases
- [10] Pettigrew. Simone, King or Pawn? The role of the Australian Beer Drinker, published in "Journal of research for Consumer", 2001.
- [11] 10. Goldberg. M Stephen (1982) "An empirical study of lifestyle correlates to brand loyal behavior" Advance in Consumer Research volume 9,1982 pages 456 deri 460.
- [12] Beatty, S. E., Kahle, L. R., Homer, P., & Misra, S. (1985). Aternative measurement approaches to consumer values: the list of values and the Rokeach value survey. Psychology & Marketing, 2(3), 181-200.
- [13] Boote, A. S. (1981). Market segmentation by personal values and salient product attributes. Journal of Advertising Research, 27(1), 29-35.
- [14] Chaney, D. (1996). Lifestyles. New York: Routiedge.
- [15] Goldsmith, R. E., Stith, M. T. & White, J. D. (1987). The Rokeach value survey and social desirability. The Journal of Social Psychology, 727(Oct.), 553-554.
- [16] Horley, J., Carroll, B., & Littie, B. R. (1988). A typology of lifestyles. Social Indicator Research. 20, 383-398.
- [17] Maslow, A. H. (1954). Motivation and personality. New York: Harper.
- [18] .Mitchell, A. (1983). The nine American lifestyles. Neë York: Macmillan Publishing Co., Inc.
- [19] Novak, T. P., & Macevoy, B. (1990). On comparing alternative segmentation schemes: the list of value (LOV) and values and lifestyle (VALS). Journal of Consumer Research, 77(Jun.), 105-109.
- [20] Pitts, R. E., & Woodside, A. G. (1984). Personal values and consumer psychology. Lexington, MA: Lexington Books.

ISSN 2411-9571 (Print)	European Journal of Economics	January-April 2016
ISSN 2411-4073 (online)	and Business Studies	Volume 2, Issue 1

- [21] Plummer, J. T. (1974). The concept and application of life style segmentation. Journal of Marketing, 55(Jan.), 33-
- [22] Rokeach, M. (1973). The nature of human values. Neë York: The Free Press.
- [23] Kahle, L. R. (2000). Cross-national consumer psychographics. Binghamton, NY:International Business Press.
- [24] Kahle, L. R., Beatty, S. E., & Homer, P. (1986). Alternative measurement approaches to consumer values: the list of values (LOV) and values and life style (VALS). Journal of Consumer Research, 13(Dec.), 405-409.
- [25] Kahle, L. R. & Kennedy, P. (1989). Using the list of values (LOV) to understand consumers. The Journal of Consumer Marketing, 6(3), 5-12.
- [26] Wells, W. D., & Tigert, D. J. (1971). Activities, interests and opinions. Journal of Advertising Research,77(Aug.), 27-
- [27] Wells, W. D. (1974). Life style and psychographic. Chicago: American Marketing Association.
- [28] Schreiber, A. L., & Lenson, B. (1996). Lifestyle and event marketing: building the new consumer partnership. New York: McGraw-Hill, Inc.
- [29] Novak, T. P., & Macevoy, B. (1990). On comparing alternative segmentation schemes: the list of value (LOV) and values and lifestyle (VALS). Journal of Consumer Research, 77(Jun.), 105-109.
- [30] Krishnan, J. (2002). Lifestyle Analysis A Tool for Understanding Buyer Behaviour

ANNEX A

Questionnaire

- 1. Do you drink beer ?
- a. Yes
- b. No (Survey did not continue)
- 2. At what age you had your first beer?
- a. Under 18 years
- b. Over 18 years
- 3. From alcoholic beverages you drink :
- a. Wine
- b. Brandy
- c. Other
- d. None
- 4. How many bottles of beer you've had last week? (calculating in 0. 5 litre bottles)
- 5. How many bottles of beer you've had last month? (calculating in 0. 5 litre bottles)
- 6. You drink beer mostly with:
- a. With friends
- b. With family
- c. Alone
- 7. Where do you drink beer mostly: (you can give more than one answer)

a. At home

- b. At caffes (bars)
- c. Restaurant
- d. Elsewhere
- 8. Do you drink beer in different parties where you take part?
- a. Yes
- b. No
- 9. Do you drink beer at home:
- a. Yes

b. No

- 10. While having your meals during day, do you consume beer?
- a. Yes
- b. No
- 11. Time when you drink beer mostly is:
- a. Day
- b. Evening
- 12. In which season of the year you drink beer mostly? (you can give more than one answer)
- a. Spring c. Autumn
- b. Summer d. Winter
- 13. Which beer wrapping/ packaging you prefer?
- a. Glass bottle
- b. Can
- 14. What beer you use at home? (you can give more than one answer)
- a. Family
- b. In a glass bottle
- c. In a metal drum/container
- d. Neither
- 15. What kind of beer you consume most often?
- a. Peja beer
- b. Imported
- c. Other
- 16. If you drink Peja Beer, why did you choose it ?
- a. Quality
- b. Because it is a local product

- c. From the impact of advertising
- d. Price
- e. Other reasons
- 17. If you drink imported beer that has a higher price, why do you do it?
- a. Because of the quality
- b. Advertising
- c. To create the image of higher social status
- d. Reputation that they have in the market
- e. Other
- 18. Based on alcohol content how strong do you want your beer to be?
- a. Alcohol free
- b. Less than 3%
- c. Between 3% to 6%
- d. More than 6%
- 19. What do you consider beer as ?
- a. As nutritional beverage/ food
- b. As cooling beverage
- c. As alcoholic beverage
- 20. What influences/affects you in choosing your beer :
- a. Price d. Alcohol content
- b. Wrapping e. Advertising
- c. Color f. Beer reputation in market
- 21. By assessing with this, how do you imagine people that drink beer :(complete sentence with few words)
- a. People that drink beer are
- b. Peja Beer drinker is ____
- c. Imported Beer is liked from ____
- d. Woman drinks beer when
- 22. What is your hobby?
- a. Sports c. Meeting friends
- b. Music d. Other (hunting, fishing, etc.)
- 23.

January-April 2016 Volume 2, Issue 1

Below is a list of things that some people seek or want from life. Please study the list carefully and then rank each thing how much it is important in your life, where 1 = not at all important and 9 = very important					
 A sense of belonging (to be accepted and needed by your family, friends and community) Excitement (in experiencing incentive and emotion) 	1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9 1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9				
- Warm relationship with others - Self - fulfillment	1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9				
- To be respected - Recreation	1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9				
-Safety -Self - respect (being proud of yourself and confident with who you	1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9 1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9				
are). - The sense of accomplishment (fulfillment) (to succeed in what you want to do).	1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9 1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9				
24. Read the values once more and chose one that is the most important to you:					

a. Sense of belonging d. Self-fulfillment g. Safety/Security

b. Excitement e. To be respected h. Self respect

c. Warm relationship with others f. Entertainment and enjoying life i. sense of achievement

25. First Name and Last Name:______ (only initials can be written down)

27. Age:_____

28. Gender : a. male b. female

28. Education: a. High school

b. University

29. Occupation:_____

30. Residence:

31. How many members in your family?_____

- 32. Your family monthly income:_____
- 33. Are you: a. employed b. unemployed

34. Are you: a. Married b. Single

Date:_____

Note: this questionnaire is completed by individuals who are over 18 years old